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1 TA Call 3 Timetable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TASKS</th>
<th>START</th>
<th>END</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Call for Proposals</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Call preparation (documents, submission form, timeline)</td>
<td>23 Aug 22</td>
<td>20 Sep 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Open Call for Applicants</strong></td>
<td>20 Sep 22</td>
<td>20 Sep 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Set-up SmartSimple platform</td>
<td>06 Sep 22</td>
<td>20 Sep 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SmartSimple platform online</strong></td>
<td>20 Sep 22</td>
<td>20 Sep 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Application submission on the platform</td>
<td>20 Sep 22</td>
<td>20 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Close Call for Proposals</strong></td>
<td>20 Oct 22</td>
<td>20 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility &amp; Eligibility check</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eligibility check by ESF</td>
<td>05 Oct 22</td>
<td>27 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify Site Operators (TA Facilities)</td>
<td>21 Sep 22</td>
<td>19 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Assign Site Operators to applications</strong></td>
<td>19 Oct 22</td>
<td>19 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Feasibility check by Site Operators</td>
<td>20 Oct 22</td>
<td>27 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deadline Feasibility check</strong></td>
<td>27 Oct 22</td>
<td>27 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation by Europlanet 2024 RI</td>
<td>27 Oct 22</td>
<td>28 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific Assessment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identify Panel Members</td>
<td>22 Sep 22</td>
<td>20 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invite Panel Members</td>
<td>22 Sep 22</td>
<td>20 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Allocate application to Panel Members (1 lead + 1 deputy)</td>
<td>20 Oct 22</td>
<td>28 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Confirmation Panel Members</strong></td>
<td>28 Oct 22</td>
<td>28 Oct 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel Members assessment (SmartSimple)</td>
<td>28 Oct 22</td>
<td>24 Nov 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deadline Scientific Assessment</strong></td>
<td>24 Nov 22</td>
<td>24 Nov 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Wrap-up</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel Meetings (Zoom)</td>
<td>30 Nov 22</td>
<td>02 Dec 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Consensus Report</td>
<td>30 Nov 22</td>
<td>09 Dec 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normalisation between Panel Chairs</td>
<td>07 Dec 22</td>
<td>09 Dec 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Deadline Panel Evaluation</strong></td>
<td>09 Dec 22</td>
<td>09 Dec 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation Report</td>
<td>09 Dec 22</td>
<td>16 Dec 22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Results to Europlanet 2024 RI</strong></td>
<td>16 Dec 22</td>
<td>16 Dec 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 Call Text

1. Call information

Open call: 20 September 2022
Close call: 20 October 2022 at 14.00 (2pm) CEST (Brussels, Paris time)
Results announced early January 2022

2. Deadline

Applications to the TA call should be filled in using the template available on the call page and submitted before 20 October 2022 at 14:00 (2pm) CEST (Brussels, Paris time).

3. Background: Europlanet 2024 RI Programme & Transnational Access (TA)

The Europlanet 2024 Research Infrastructure (http://www.europlanet-2024-ri.eu) is funded by Horizon 2020 to support the research activities of the European planetary science community and foster international collaborations.
The programme enables European researchers to visit planetary analogue field sites, use planetary simulators and perform analyses in distributed sample analysis facilities through its **Transnational Access (TA)** programme. The TA programme supports all travel and local accommodation costs of European and international researchers in order to conduct their research in accredited facilities and field sites. Applicants can submit applications to the TA programme through annual calls. Anonymised applications will be evaluated by a peer review process managed by the European Science Foundation independent of the Europlanet 2024 RI participants. In order to qualify for “transnational access”, the applicants should only apply for access to facilities outside of the country in which they are employed. The TA programme can support up to two researchers for each visit and covering a time-period ranging from a few days to several weeks.

While the Europlanet 2024 RI TA programme is designed to primarily support planetary science (and Earth sciences), applications from other research disciplines will also be considered based on innovation and potential scientific and technological impact. Transnational Access calls are divided in two subcategories (detailed list of sites and facilities as well as contact details are provided on the Europlanet 2024 RI website, [http://www.europlanet-2024-ri.eu](http://www.europlanet-2024-ri.eu)).

**TA1 - Planetary Field Analogues (PFA)**

Access to 6 field sites featuring a unique suite of natural environments presenting distinctive chemo-physical characteristics that make them unparalleled analogues of planetary bodies (e.g., Mars, Icy Moons, etc.). These comprehensively characterized, highly diverse Planetary Field Analogue (PFA) sites will provide researchers the capability to undertake multi-disciplinary research programmes that are needed to support planetary missions. The Qaidam Basin field site in China is now accessible. Details on the planetary analogue sites are available on the Europlanet 2024 RI webpage: [http://www.europlanet-2024-ri.eu](http://www.europlanet-2024-ri.eu).

**Ethiopia: due to the current political instability, access to the Danakil Depression will not be considered in this call.**

**TA2 - Distributed Planetary Simulation Facility (DPSF)**

Access to 13 internationally renowned research centres and 24 facilities in Europe, as well as 13 facilities in South Korea, for the simulation or characterisation of planetary conditions and materials. There is urgent need for access to these facilities because Europe is operating, preparing and planning a fleet of spacecraft to investigate the surface and atmospheric environments and compositions of Mercury, Venus, Mars, Jupiter, Titan, Europa, Uranus, Neptune, comets, asteroids and the Moon. Access to these laboratory simulation and analytical facilities will enable the validation of instrument design and performance, the study of physical and geological processes that form specific planetary environments, and the evaluation of biogeochemical processes that control whether life could evolve or survive. Details on the Distributed Planetary Simulation Facilities are available on the Europlanet 2024 RI webpage: [http://www.europlanet-2024-ri.eu](http://www.europlanet-2024-ri.eu).
4. Rules for participation

Maximum number of applicants funded per project

The expenses of up to two researchers will be covered per access visit. Upon agreement with the host facility, additional researchers will be allowed to visit the facility, but their expenses will not be covered.

Additional funding from other sources can be used to extend the duration of a TA visit or to arrange a follow up visit for data interpretation and preparation of a publication (subject to approval from the concerned facility).

Maximum number of grants per applicant

It is recommended that separate applications are made so that sample characterisation and the results from an initial visit are fully evaluated before any follow up work. Sequential applications are encouraged; for example, a field visit followed by analyses elsewhere. However, during the life span of the Europlanet 2024 RI, applicants will not be supported more than four times (continued from Europlanet RI 2020) and not more than once through the “Fast Track” calls.

Projects duration

The unit of access to a TA facility or field site is a working day. The maximum duration of the visit depends on the category of the TA:

- For TA1 (PFA), the maximum duration for a visit is ten working days
- For TA2 (DPSF), the maximum duration for a visit is ten working days except under exceptional circumstances

Funding principles

Finances to cover TA visits are limited, so applicants are expected to make efficient use of the funds by using economy travel and hotels suggested by the host facility. Living expenses will depend upon the host city. The eligible expenses covered by the call are:

- Travel (economy class)
- Accommodation (up to 100 Euros/night)
- Contribution to living expenses (up to 50 Euros/day)

Travel tickets and accommodation costs will only be reimbursed on production of receipts and the final visit report. Generally, accommodation will be arranged by the host facility. Travel tickets can be booked by the host facility following consultation. Expenses will only be transferred to the applicant’s bank account on production of receipts and the final visit report.

5. Eligibility Criteria

To be eligible and considered:

- Applications should be submitted by 20 October 2022 at 14.00 (2pm) CEST (Brussels, Paris time)
- Applicants are required to first discuss the implementation plan with the host institute of the TA facility or field site before submitting their application.
- Applications should be written in English, using the font Arial – size 11 minimum, and should be filled in using the template available on the submission page
• The core of the application (all parts provided and uploaded as one pdf document) should respect the page limit (3 pages maximum all included, i.e. figures, tables, charts, etc.). All page limits indicated in the template are mandatory.

• Generally, the Project Leader of the application should work for an organisation based in an EU Member State or a Horizon 2020 affiliated country. Under Horizon 2020, up to 20% of the funds can be allocated to non-EU researchers.

• Neither the Project Leader nor co-applicant(s) should apply for a facility/site located in the country in which they are employed.

• The core of the application (all parts provided and uploaded as pdf document) are anonymous and therefore should not contain any information (names of the Project Leader and co-applicants, affiliation, publications, etc.) that allows identification of the applicant(s). Failure to respect this requirement will result in the automatic rejection of the application.

• The Project Leader and co-applicant(s) should be researchers involved in the visit (i.e. application on behalf of another person is not allowed).

• A maximum of one application per applicants (same co-applicants) per TA category (PFA and DPLF) is allowed for each call.

Proposals that do not respect any of the points above will be considered ineligible and will not go through the technical feasibility validation process and the scientific assessment.

6. Technical feasibility

All applications submitted will be forwarded to the designated operators of the TA sites, laboratories and facilities who will check and validate the technical feasibility of the investigations proposed.

The technical feasibility validation process is not a scientific assessment, it will not consider the application from a scientific point of view, but rather from an operational and technical implementation perspective.

If an application is considered not feasible, it will not go through the scientific assessment, and the applicant will be informed that their application did not pass the technical feasibility check.

7. Confidentiality and evaluation process

Confidentiality

All participants in the peer review process will be required to note that in line with French Data Protection law No.78-17 of 6th January 1978 as amended and with the European Union General Data Protection Regulation (EU 2016/679) (GDPR), all information provided in the frame of the evaluation process, including the personal data of all the persons involved as well as the content of the project, should not be used for any other purpose than assessment. The Service Provider acknowledges that all information relative to submitted applications provided is of a confidential nature and should be treated as such.

The external experts performing the evaluation activity set forth will be expressly required to treat all information as strictly confidential and will be asked to endorse on an online non-disclosure agreement before having full access to applications and peer review documents.
Evaluation process

The scientific evaluation process will be anonymous. All applications will be assessed by disciplinary panels set up by the European Science Foundation (ESF). The composition of the review panels will reflect the scientific profiles of all submitted applications and each application will be assessed by two review panel members with relevant scientific expertise. The review panels will not assess the technical feasibility of the applications.

During the review panel meetings (teleconference), each application will be presented in detail by their two reviewers and discussed by the full review panel. The review panel will then agree on an overall mark for each application and produce a ranked list of applications. The two reviewers will then produce a consensus report for each application, which will consist of a joint assessment of the application taking into account the panel discussions. This report will be communicated to the applicants.

8. Evaluation Criteria

Each proposal will be assessed on four criteria:

- **Criterion 1** – Innovative nature of the application (originality of the research proposed and/or of the methodology to be applied);
- **Criterion 2** – Science and Technology excellence (overall scientific or technical merit of the application, soundness of concept, and quality of the objectives);
- **Criterion 3** – Implementation (quality and effectiveness of the methodology and associated work, relevance of the facility/site, strategy for the utilisation and publication of new data);
- **Criterion 4** – Scientific impact (how do the objectives and expected results contribute to advancing the state of the art; relevance for European and/or international planetary science and Earth science communities, past or future missions, and/or industry; overall scientific and societal impact for applications dealing with other research disciplines aside from planetary science and Earth science).

Each criterion will be rated on a 0 to 5 scale with an equal weight (total score on 20). The table below provides a guideline illustrating the value and meaning of individual marks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Numeric Score</th>
<th>Corresponding Wording</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>The application successfully addresses all relevant aspects of the criterion in question. Any shortcomings are minor.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Very good</td>
<td>The application addresses the criterion very well, although certain improvements are still possible.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Good</td>
<td>The application addresses the criterion well, although improvements would be necessary.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fair</td>
<td>While the application broadly addresses the criterion, there are significant weaknesses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Poor</td>
<td>The criterion is addressed in an inadequate manner, or there are serious inherent weaknesses.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The application fails to address the criterion under examination or cannot be judged due to missing or incomplete information.

9. Europlanet Policy - Reporting requirements

Successful applicants are required to prepare a short (approx. 2 A4 pages) report on their visit and findings. This report should also include plans of how they will disseminate their findings to the scientific community and to actively engage with the impact and outreach work packages within Europlanet 2024 RI to reach the general public. Moreover, the successful applicants are expected to present their results at a future Europlanet Science Congress (EPSC).

Data obtained from facilities operating within Europlanet 2024 RI will be stored at the host institution. These data will made available in open access a year after the agreed completion of the TA visit, except for commercially sensitive information. In case of conflicting information found outside the call document, this call document prevails.